2179 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division JANE DOE, Plaintiff, : Case No. 1:18-cv-614 -vs-FAIRFAX COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD, : Defendant. ----: VOLUME 10 JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS August 9, 2019 Before: Liam O'Grady, USDC Judge And a Jury APPEARANCES: John R. Ates, Linda M. Correia, and Lauren A. Khouri, Counsel for the Plaintiff

Sona Rewari, Stuart A. Raphael, and Andrea R. Calem,

Counsel for the Defendant

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2180

NOTE: The August 9, 2019 portion of the case begins in the absence of the jury as follows: JURY OUT THE COURT: All right. Good morning to everyone. MR. ATES: Good morning, Your Honor. MR. RAPHAEL: Good morning, Your Honor. THE COURT: I very much appreciate the briefing that you did on the additional question by the jury, and I know that you were working, burning both ends of the candle. So I looked at the briefs. I've proposed an answer to the question. And I will hear comments at this time from counsel. Mr. Ates. MR. ATES: Your Honor, we appreciate as well you and your clerks burning the candle on three different ends probably given your docket today. We would agree with the language the Court has proposed, we think it helps clarify for the jury this issue. I do want to raise whether -- from a lay person's perspective, their language is quiet elegant on looking at what does "actual knowledge" mean. And I don't know, given where the Court appears to be in your draft language, whether we need to be a little bit more explicit to the jury that the concept of actual knowledge is as explained in their concept A. To let them know that it is a

compilation of information known to responsible school officials.

They have asked that specific question. I think they are wanting an answer on it. And we would propose a little bit more guidance in that regard to say that.

I understand the Court's concerns about overinstructing, but in this instance the jury has asked a specific question, is it A or is it B, and the law says it is A. And I don't think it would cause a problem of error if the Court instructs them on that.

I hope that answers Your Honor's question.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ATES: The one thing I do want to point out is yesterday the School Board was complaining about instruction number 5 and the word "alleged" in there. They in docket 244 at page 48 of 68, which is page ID number 4389, defendant proposed that instruction, Your Honor.

And it would not -- the only changes this Court made was to add the words "of Jane Doe's sex" after "reasonable person" in prong number two.

They cannot be heard to complain of a jury instruction they proposed, both under the invited error doctrine and the subset of that doctrine, which is, if you propose a jury instruction and the Court gives it, you cannot be heard to complain.

2182 1 So I just wanted to make sure that was clear for the 2 record, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Ates. 4 Mr. Raphael. 5 MR. RAPHAEL: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 Let me begin with -- we do object to the proposal. 7 But I think there is a typo in the end of it. I think you mean 8 question 3, not question 4. 9 THE COURT: No, I mean question --10 MR. RAPHAEL: Because question 3 is the one that goes 11 to "actual knowledge." 12 THE COURT: Yeah, but they also are looking at 13 question 4 as: Question 4 posits that "sexual harassment" is 14 known, even though question 3 asks re actual knowledge of the 15 "alleged sexual harassment." 16 So I had proposed putting the second 17 sentence/paragraph in there: If the School Board had actual 18 knowledge of such an allegation, then it knew of the sexual 19 harassment of Jane Doe on the March 8, 2017 bus trip for 20 purposes of question number 4. 21 MR. RAPHAEL: Okay. We think the problem with this 22 response is it does not capture the requirement that the 23 knowledge of the appropriate school officials include both the 24 facts showing that there was harassment and that it was so 25 severe, pervasive, and reasonably offensive -- or objectively

offensive to as to deny educational opportunities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Davis shows that those are both required. case from the Eleventh Circuit that we cited in our brief explicitly says that, both are required. And they are both required because under Title IX, which is a spending clause statute, a school does not -- a funding recipient doesn't have a legal obligation to respond until both of those things are shown.

And that originates with the Gebser requirement, that you have a responsibility to respond to discrimination that violates Title IX. So we --

THE COURT: Why isn't that laid out in 1 and 2 that 13 they have?

MR. RAPHAEL: Because the way -- the way this response reads, it suggests that only 1 counts. And it doesn't capture the idea that 2 is important also.

And that's why we thought our response, and I appreciate Mr. Ates', you know, recognition that it is a pretty elegant and concise answer, I think captures it both.

And it captures the idea -- I mean, our suggested response is, "Question 3 asks you to determine if the School Board had actual knowledge of the conduct by Jack Smith on which you based your answers to questions 1 and 2."

Respectfully, that is a much more direct answer to the jury's question, and I think obviates the need to get into

anything else. So we think that is the better answer.

We think that the language "compilation," which I acknowledge was in the jury's question, I think that Mr. Ates' suggestion about talking about compilations of information is confusing to them and less direct and concise than what we propose.

I also wanted to answer a couple things. We did raise before the charge the problem with the use of the word "alleged." So I don't think we're estopped or precluded from pointing that out as the case went along before the Court decided on what the proper charge would be.

And this verdict form is the one that the plaintiffs proposed, not the one that we proposed, which did not include the word "alleged."

I also wanted to respond to Mr. Ates' suggestion in his brief this morning that the facts about actual knowledge are really not in dispute. They are.

And just so it's clear, Victoria Staub and Michelle Taylor had very different testimony about what Victoria told Michelle Taylor that Friday. Victoria said she talked about the digital penetration. Michelle Taylor said that never happened.

And the facts are also disputed about what happened on Monday when Ms. Hogan was interviewing Ms. Doe. The facts as recounted by Ms. Hogan told her that there was no objective

2

3

4

5

6

8

11

12

14

17

18

21

22

25

2185

```
evidence of a sexual assault. That even though -- even
     crediting Ms. Doe's claim that she didn't want to do it, there
     was no objective manifestation of that based on her
     testimony -- based on what she told Ms. Hogan and what Mr.
     Smith told Ms. Hogan.
               So I just want -- I just want to make clear that we
 7
     think that the facts are hotly disputed about whether there was
     actual knowledge of the facts that would give rise to a Title
 9
     IX violation.
10
               And so, I would return then to suggesting that our
     proposed elegant, and short, concise answer really solves the
     problem. And we would urge the Court to give that.
13
               THE COURT: All right, thank you.
               MR. ATES: May I briefly, Your Honor?
15
               THE COURT: Yes.
16
               MR. ATES: If I misspoke, I apologize, but I thought
     what I called elegant was the jury's own language by lay people
     regarding those --
19
               THE COURT: That's the way I understood it.
20
               MR. ATES: Thank you, Your Honor. As far as their
     proposed instruction, it did have the word "actual knowledge"
     in it. They copied O'Malley but for "of the person's sex" in
23
     there.
24
               So the only other issue, Your Honor, and I am not
```

sure Your Honor needs to touch it, is they did have the

question about the vacation. I don't know if Your Honor needs to say, please deliberate fully today and if we need -- you know, but maybe we just let that lie. But I at least wanted to raise it with Your Honor to get Your Honor's thinking on that.

THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, I have the question in front of me and I was going to inquire. I think I'll wait until later in the day to talk about that and see how they do. And I can acknowledge that I have received that question and will address it later in the day.

MR. ATES: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I don't want tell them that you have to finish by 2 o'clock or there are consequences, we don't do that.

MR. ATES: That's why I was in my own head, Your Honor, pondering do we say something or not. But I think if Your Honor says we can address that as needed later in the day, I think we would agree with that.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. We're going to take a recess. We may tweak the language in the instruction. We will bring you out copies of what we decide on before we bring the jury in. And then we will hopefully have our jury here shortly and I will ask them about, of course, the normal questions about whether they have done any research or investigation, and then I will just read the answer to their questions to them here, and we will send the written statement back to them.

```
2187
 1
               MR. ATES: Thank you. Your Honor, I may not have
 2
     stated on the record, we object to referring them back to 1 and
 3
     2. They are going through each piece, and we think they are
 4
     going through it seriatim, and that's appropriate.
 5
               Thank you.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Raphael.
 7
               MR. RAPHAEL: Yeah, I want to -- it was wrong of me
 8
     to assume that the compliment was intended for me, and I
 9
     apologize for that.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. All right, we're in recess.
11
               NOTE: At this point a recess is taken; at the
12
     conclusion of which the case continues in the absence of the
13
     jury as follows:
14
     JURY OUT
15
               THE COURT: All right. Ready for our jury?
16
               MR. ATES: We are, Your Honor. Thank you.
17
               MR. RAPHAEL: Yes, Your Honor.
18
               MS. REWARI: Yes, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: All right, Joe, let's get our jury,
20
     please.
21
               NOTE: At this point, 9:11 a.m., the jury returns to
22
     the courtroom; whereupon the case continues as follows:
23
     JURY IN
24
               THE COURT: All right, please be seated.
25
               Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, thank you for
```

once again working your way in here on time.

Let me ask you my very important question, that you didn't do any research, or investigation, or talk to anybody about the case. Can I have a nod of heads from everybody?

Thank you so much. As you know, it is critical.

You had asked right before you left last night a question which reads: Can question 3 be answered affirmatively without implying that sexual harassment was "known" by the School Board. Question 4 posits that "sexual harassment" is known, even though question 3 asks re actual knowledge of illegal sexual harassment -- of "alleged sexual harassment."

And second: We continue to have questions re actual knowledge. Is actual knowledge, A, a compilation of information about an event or... B, the conclusion decided based on information provided.

And I apologize for the wording of the verdict form,
I see why you were confused, and that's my fault. So let me
try and clarify. And I have written out the answer to the
questions and it will go back with you. But let me just read
it.

The Court has received your question. Question 3 can be answered affirmatively if you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the School Board had actual knowledge of an allegation or allegations that on March 8 of -- on the March 8, 2017 bus trip Jack Smith sexually harassed Jane Doe.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2189

```
If the School Board had actual knowledge of such an
allegation or allegations, then it knew of the sexual
harassment of Jane Doe on the March 8 bus trip for the purposes
of question number 4.
          So I hope that helps you. I will ask you now to
return to that beautiful jury room and continue deliberating.
          All right. Thank you so much. You're excused.
          NOTE: At this point, 9:14 a.m., the jury leaves the
courtroom; whereupon the case continues as follows:
JURY OUT
          THE COURT: All right. We are going to let the group
in for our Friday morning docket, and we will await further
word from the jury. And we will interrupt the docket if we
have to take care of the jury.
          MR. ATES: Thank you, Your Honor. I've let the
courtroom clerk know, we're going to be in the attorney work
room on 4, Your Honor. So I am not going to be on a cell
phone.
          THE COURT: Okay.
          MR. ATES: We are going to stay in the courthouse.
          THE COURT: Okay, great.
         MR. ATES: Thank you, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: Thank you all.
         MS. REWARI: Thank you.
         MR. RAPHAEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

```
2190
 1
               MS. CORREIA: Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
               NOTE: At this point a recess is taken; at the
 3
     conclusion of which the case continues in the absence of the
 4
     jury as follows:
 5
     JURY OUT
 6
               THE COURT: All right, let's call the Doe case back
 7
     into court.
 8
               THE CLERK: Recalling civil action 1:18-cv-614, Jane
 9
     Doe versus Fairfax County School Board.
10
               THE COURT: All right, I understand we have a
11
     verdict. Are you ready to return the verdict?
12
               MS. CORREIA: Yes, Your Honor.
13
               MR. ATES: Yes, we are, Your Honor.
14
               MS. REWARI: Yes, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. Joe, let's get our jury then.
16
               NOTE: At this point, 11:14 a.m., the jury returns to
17
     the courtroom; whereupon the case continues as follows:
18
     JURY IN
19
               THE COURT: All right, please have a seat.
20
               Do you have a verdict for us?
21
               JURY FOREPERSON: Yes.
22
               THE COURT: Would you hand it to Mr. Ruelas, please.
23
               All right, thank you.
24
               The foreperson has signed and dated the special
25
     interrogatory verdict form. They have answered yes to
```

- 1 questions 1 and 2. They have answered no to question number 3,
- 2 | that the School Board had actual knowledge of the alleged
- 3 | sexual harassment. And, therefore, not filled out the answers
- 4 4 or 5.
- 5 Let's -- is that your unanimous verdict? All of you
- 6 agree with it?
- 7 NOTE: The jurors responded affirmatively.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Then thank you. Your
- 9 deliberations are complete.
- If you have an opportunity, I would like to say thank
- 11 you to each of you. So if you have a minute to wait for me to
- 12 | come back, I have a few moments that I need to speak with
- 13 counsel, and then I will get back there.
- If you have to leave, I understand, but I would like
- 15 to personally thank you for all of your time and effort here
- 16 today.
- 17 All right. So you are excused at this time.
- NOTE: At this point the jury is discharged and
- 19 | leaves the courtroom; whereupon the case continues as follows:
- 20 JURY DISCHARGED
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Any matters you want to
- 22 address at this time? Plaintiff have any?
- 23 MR. ATES: Your Honor, we would have wanted them
- 24 polled, but you asked the question of whether it was unanimous,
- 25 so we--

```
2192
 1
               THE COURT: Each of them nodded their heads yes.
 2
               MR. ATES: That's correct, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. I am going to go thank
 3
     our jury. I thank all counsel.
 4
 5
               Ms. Doe, you are a courageous young woman, and I am
 6
     very proud of you. And I know your parents are very proud of
     you. And I wish you only the very best as you go through your
 8
     college experience. And I hope that you put all this behind
 9
     you through your help with counseling, and also your ability
10
     that I know you have to focus on the future and do great things
11
     with that future. And I trust that will happen.
12
               And if there ever is a time that you ever want to
13
     talk with me, please, I am happy to talk with you any time.
14
     And please keep that in mind. All right?
15
               MS. DOE: Thank you.
               THE COURT: All right. Okay, we're in recess.
16
17
                             TRIAL CONCLUDED
18
19
20
21
                    I certify that the foregoing is a true and
22
          accurate transcription of my stenographic notes.
23
24
                            /s/ Norman B. Linnell
25
                         Norman B. Linnell, RPR, CM, VCE, FCRR
```